arXiv:math/9908008v1 [math.QA] 2 Aug 1999

math.QA/9908008

Vertex Operators of $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ and Highest Weight Representations of $U_q[gl(\widehat{2|2})]$

Wen-Li Yang [a,b,c] and Yao-Zhong Zhang [c]

[a] *CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China*
[b] *Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University, Xian 710069 ,China*
[c] *Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia*

Abstract

We determine the exchange relations of the level-one q-vertex operators of the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$. We study in details the level-one irreducible highest weight representations of $U_q[gl(\widehat{2|2})]$, and compute the characters and supercharacters associated with these irreducible modules.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991): 17B37, 81R50, 81R10, 16W30

I Introduction

I.1 Free bosonic representations

Infinite-dimensional highest weight representations and the corresponding vertex operators [1] of quantum affine (super)algberas are two ingredients of great importance in the algebraic analysis of lattice integrable models [2, 3]. Under some assumptions on the physical space of states of the models, this algebraic analysis method enables one to compute the correlation functions and form factors of these models in the form of integrals [2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

A powerful approach for studying the highest weight representations and vertex operators is the bosonization technique [7, 8] which allows one to explicitly construct these objects in terms of the q-deformed free bosonic fields.

Free bosonic realization of level-one representations and the associated vertex operators has been constructed for most (twisted or untwisted) quantum affine algebras [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. This bosonization technique has recently been extended to the type I quantum affine superalgebras $U_q[\widehat{sl(M|N)}]$, $M \neq N$ [12] and $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$ [13]. Level-one representations and vertex operators of these two cases have been constructed in terms of q-deformed free bosonic fields. (See also [14] and [15] for the special $U_q[\widehat{sl(2|1)}]$ and $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$ cases , respectively.)

I.2 Highest weight irreducible representations

For most quantum affine bosonic algebras, the level-one free bosonic representations are already irreducible. However, for $U_q[\widehat{sl(M|N)}]$, $M \neq N$ or $M = N$, such free bosonic representations, which were constructed in [12, 13], are not irreducible in general. It is interesting (and important for applications) to construct irreducible highest weight representations out of the reducible ones. In [12, 5] and [16] the level-one irreducible highest weight representations of $U_q[\widehat{sl(2|1)}]$ and $U_q[\widehat{gl(1|1)}]$ have been investigated in details. The method adopted in these papers is to look for suitable projection operators and then applying them to decompose the reducible representations into a direct sum of irreducible ones. The characters and supercharacters associated with these irreducible representations can then be computed by the BRST resolution.

I.3 The present work

In the first part of this work, we determine the exchange relations of level-one q-vertex operators of $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$ by using the free bosonic realization given in [13]. We also give a Miki's construction of the super Reshetikhin-Semenov-Tian-Shansky (RS) algebra [17] for $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$ at level-one. The second part of this work is devoted to a detailed study of level-one irreducible highest weight representations of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$ and the corresponding vertex operators. We compute the characters and supercharacters associated with all these irreducible representations.

The paper is organized as follow. In section II we briefly review the bosonization of $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$ given in [13]. In section III we obtain the free bosonic realization of the level-one vertex operators of $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$ by extending the results in [13] for $U_q[\widehat{sl(N|N)}]$. In section IV we determine the exchange relations of these vertex operators. In section V, we study in details the level-one irreducible highest weight representations of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$ and the associated with vertex operators. The characters and supercharacters corresponding to these irreducible modules are computed.

II Bosonization of $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$ at Level-One

II.1 Drinfeld basis of $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$

Let $\{\alpha_i,\ i=0,1,\cdots,2N-1\}$ denote a chosen set of simple roots of the affine superalgebra $\widehat{sl(N|N)}$ [18]. As in [13], we choose the following non-standard system of simple roots, all of which are odd,

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_0=\delta-\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{2N}\,, \\ &\alpha_l=\varepsilon_l-\varepsilon_{l+1}\,, \qquad l=1,2,\cdots,2N-1 \end{aligned} \tag{II.1}$$

with $\delta,\ \{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^{2N}$ satisfying $(\delta,\delta)=(\delta,\varepsilon_k)=0,\ (\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_{k'})=(-1)^{k+1}\delta_{kk'}$. Exending the Cartan subalgebra of $\widehat{sl(N|N)}$ by adding to it the element

$$\alpha_{2N}=\sum_{k=1}^{2N}\varepsilon_k\ , \tag{II.2}$$

we obtain an enlarged Cartan matrix with elements $a_{ij}=(\alpha_i,\alpha_j),\ i,j=0,1,2,\cdots,2N$. Explicitly,

$$(a_{ij})=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & -1 & & & & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & & & & 2 \\ & 1 & 0 & \ddots & & & -2 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & & & & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 & \cdots & \cdots & 2 & 0 \end{array}\right)\ . \tag{II.3}$$

Then the Cartan matrix $(a_{ij}),\ i,j=1,2,\cdots,2N$ is invertible, which is essential in the construction of q-vertex operators.

We denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the extended Cartan subalgebra and by $\mathcal{H}^*$ the dual of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\{h_0,h_1,\cdots,h_{2N},d\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{H}$, where h_{2N} is the element in $\mathcal{H}$ corresponding to α_{2N} and d is the usual derivation operator. We identify the dual $\mathcal{H}^*$ with $\mathcal{H}$ via the form (,). Let $\{\Lambda_0,\Lambda_1,\cdots,\Lambda_{2N},\delta\}$ be the dual basis with Λ_j being fundamental weights. Explicitly [13]

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda_{2N}=\frac{1}{2N}\sum_{k=1}^{2N}(-1)^{k+1}\varepsilon_k, \\ &\Lambda_i=\Lambda_0+\sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{k+1}\varepsilon_k-\frac{i}{2N}\sum_{k=1}^{2N}(-1)^{k+1}\varepsilon_k, \end{aligned} \tag{II.4}$$

where $i=0,1,\cdots,2N-1$. Obviously, $\mathcal{H}$ ($\mathcal{H}^*$) constitutes the (dual) Cartan subalgebra of $\widehat{gl(N|N)}$.

The quantum affine superalgebra $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$ is a quantum (or q-) deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of $\widehat{gl(N|N)}$ and is generated by the Chevalley generators

$\{e_i,\ f_i\ q^{h_j},\ d|i=0,1,\cdots,2N-1,\ j=0,1,\cdots,2N\}$. The $\mathbf{Z}_2$-grading of the Chevalley generators is $[e_i]=[f_i]=1,\ i=0,1,\cdots,2N-1$ and zero otherwise. The defining relations are

$$\begin{aligned}
&hh'=h'h, \qquad \forall h\in\mathcal{H},\\
&q^{h_j}e_iq^{-h_j}=q^{a_{ij}}e_i, \qquad [d,e_i]=\delta_{i0}e_i,\\
&q^{h_j}f_iq^{-h_j}=q^{-a_{ij}}f_i, \qquad [d,f_i]=-\delta_{i0}f_i,\\
&[e_i,f_{i'}]=\delta_{ii'}\frac{q^{h_i}-q^{-h_i}}{q-q^{-1}},\\
&[e_i,e_{i'}]=[f_i,f_{i'}]=0, \qquad \text{for}\ \ a_{ii'}=0,\\
&[[e_0,e_1]_{q^{-1}},[e_0,e_{2N-1}]_q]=0,\\
&[[e_l,e_{l-1}]_{q^{(-1)^l}},[e_l,e_{l+1}]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}}]=0,\\
&[[e_{2N-1},e_{2N-2}]_{q^{-1}},[e_{2N-1},e_0]_q]=0,\\
&[[f_0,f_1]_{q^{-1}},[f_0,f_{2N-1}]_q]=0,\\
&[[f_l,f_{l-1}]_{q^{(-1)^l}},[f_l,f_{l+1}]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}}]=0,\\
&[[f_{2N-1},f_{2N-2}]_{q^{-1}},[f_{2N-1},f_0]_q]=0, \qquad l=1,2,\cdots,2N-2.
\end{aligned} \tag{II.5}$$

Here and throughout, $[a,b]_x\equiv ab-(-1)^{[a][b]}xba$ and $[a,b]\equiv[a,b]_1$.

$U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ is a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded quasi-triangular Hopf algebra endowed with the following coproduct Δ, counit ϵ and antipode S:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(h) &= h\otimes 1+1\otimes h,\\
\Delta(e_i) &= e_i\otimes 1+q^{h_i}\otimes e_i, \qquad \Delta(f_i)=f_i\otimes q^{-h_i}+1\otimes f_i,\\
\epsilon(e_i) &= \epsilon(f_i)=\epsilon(h)=0,\\
S(e_i) &= -q^{-h_i}e_i, \qquad S(f_i)=-f_iq^{h_i}, \qquad S(h)=-h,
\end{aligned} \tag{II.6}$$

where $i=0,1,\cdots,2N-1$ and $h\in\mathcal{H}$. Notice that the antipode S is a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded algebra anti-homomorphism. Namely, for any homogeneous elements $a,b\in U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ $S(ab)=(-1)^{[a][b]}S(b)S(a)$, which extends to inhomogeneous elements through linearity. The multiplication rule on the tensor products is $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded: $(a\otimes b)(a'\otimes b')=(-1)^{[b][a']}(aa'\otimes bb')$ for any homogeneous elements $a,b,a',b'\in U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$

$U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ can also be realized in terms of the Drinfeld generators [19] $\{X^{\pm,i}_m,\ H^j_n,\ q^{\pm H^j_0},\ c,\ d|m\in\mathbf{Z},\ n\in\mathbf{Z}-\{0\},\ i=1,2,\cdots,2N-1,\ j=1,2,\cdots,2N\}$. The $\mathbf{Z}_2$-grading of the Drinfeld generators is given by $[X^{\pm,i}_m]=1$ for all $i=1,\cdots,2N-1,\ m\in\mathbf{Z}$ and $[H^j_n]=[H^j_0]=[c]=[d]=0$ for all $j=1,\cdots,2N,\ n\in\mathbf{Z}-\{0\}$. The relations satisfied by the Drinfeld generators read [20, 17, 13]

$$\begin{aligned}
&[c,a]=[d,H^j_0]=[H^j_0,H^{j'}_n]=0, \qquad \forall a\in U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]\\
&q^{H^j_0}X^{\pm,i}_nq^{-H^j_0}=q^{\pm a_{ij}}X^{\pm,i}_n,\\
&[d,X^{\pm,i}_n]=nX^{\pm,i}_n, \quad [d,H^j_n]=nH^j_n,\\
&[H^j_n,H^{j'}_m]=\delta_{n+m,0}\frac{[a_{jj'}n]_q[nc]_q}{n},\\
&[H^j_n,X^{\pm,i}_m]=\pm\frac{[a_{ij}n]_q}{n}X^{\pm,i}_{n+m}q^{\mp|n|c/2},\\
&[X^{+,i}_n,X^{-,i'}_m]=\frac{\delta_{ii'}}{q-q^{-1}}\left(q^{\frac{c}{2}(n-m)}\psi^{+,i}_{n+m}-q^{-\frac{c}{2}(n-m)}\psi^{-,i}_{n+m}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[X_n^{\pm,i}, X_m^{\pm,i'}] = 0, \quad \text{for } a_{ii'} = 0,\\
&[X_{n+1}^{\pm,i}, X_m^{\pm,i'}]_{q^{\pm a_{ii'}}} - [X_{m+1}^{\pm,i'}, X_n^{\pm,i}]_{q^{\pm a_{ii'}}} = 0,\\
&[[X_m^{\pm,l}, X_{m'}^{\pm,l-1}]_{q^{(-1)^l}}, [X_n^{\pm,l}, X_{n'}^{\pm,l+1}]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}}]\\
&\quad + [[X_n^{\pm,l}, X_{m'}^{\pm,l-1}]_{q^{(-1)^l}}, [X_m^{\pm,l}, X_{n'}^{\pm,l+1}]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}}] = 0, \quad l = 2, \cdots, 2N-2. \qquad \text{(II.7)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $[x]_q = (q^x - q^{-x})/(q - q^{-1})$ and $\psi_n^{\pm,j}$ are related to $H_{\pm n}^j$ by relations

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \psi_n^{\pm,j} z^{-n} = q^{\pm H_0^j} \exp\left(\pm(q-q^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} H_{\pm n}^j z^{\mp n}\right). \qquad \text{(II.8)}$$

The Chevalley generators are related to the Drinfeld generators by the formulae

$$h_i = H_0^i, \quad e_i = X_0^{+,i}, \quad f_i = X_0^{-,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N-1, \qquad \text{(II.9)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_{2N} = H_0^{2N}, \quad h_0 = c - \sum_{k=1}^{2N-1} H_0^k,\\
&e_0 = [X_0^{-,2N-1}, [X_0^{-,2N-2}, \cdots, [X_0^{-,3}, [X_0^{-,2}, X_1^{-,1}]_q]_{q^{-1}} \cdots]_q]_{q^{-1}} \, q^{-\sum_{k=1}^{2N-1} H_0^k},\\
&f_0 = (-1)^N q^{\sum_{k=1}^{2N-1} H_0^k} [[\cdots [[X_{-1}^{+,1}, X_0^{+,2}]_{q^{-1}}, X_0^{+,3}]_q, \cdots, X_0^{+,2N-2}]_{q^{-1}}, X_0^{+,2N-1}]_q.
\end{aligned} \qquad \text{(II.10)}$$

II.2 Free Bosonic realization of $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ at level one

In this subsection, we briefly review the bosonization of $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ at level one [13].

Introduce bosonic oscillators $\{a_n^j,\ c_n^l,\ Q_{a^j},\ Q_{c^l} | n \in \mathbf{Z}, j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N,\ l = 1, 2, \cdots, N\}$ which satisfy the commutation relations

$$[a_n^j, a_m^{j'}] = (-1)^{j+1} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[n]_q^2}{n}, \qquad [a_0^j, Q_{a^{j'}}] = \delta_{jj'}, \qquad \text{(II.11)}$$

$$[c_n^l, c_m^{l'}] = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{n+m,0} \frac{[n]_q^2}{n}, \qquad [c_0^l, Q_{c^{l'}}] = \delta_{ll'}. \qquad \text{(II.12)}$$

The remaining commutation relations are zero. Associated with these bosonic oscillators are the q-deformed free bosonic fields

$$H^j(z;\kappa) = Q_{A^j} + A_0^j \ln z - \sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{A_n^j}{[n]_q} q^{\kappa|n|} z^{-n}, \qquad \text{(II.13)}$$

$$c^l(z) = Q_{c^l} + c_0^l \ln z - \sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{c_n^l}{[n]_q} z^{-n}, \qquad \text{(II.14)}$$

$$H_\pm^j(z) = \pm(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} A_{\pm n}^j z^{\mp n} \pm A_0^i \ln q. \qquad \text{(II.15)}$$

Here,

$$A_n^i = (-1)^{i+1} \left(a_n^i + a_n^{i+1}\right), \quad Q_{A^i} = Q_{a^i} - Q_{a^{i+1}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N-1, \qquad \text{(II.16)}$$

$$A_n^{2N} = \frac{q^n + q^{-n}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2N} (-1)^{l+1} a_n^l, \qquad Q_{A^{2N}} = \sum_{l=1}^{2N} Q_{a^l}. \qquad \text{(II.17)}$$

Let us define the Drinfeld currents

$$X^{\pm,i}(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}X_n^{\pm,i}z^{-n-1}\ ,\quad i=1,2,\cdots,2N-1,$$
$$\psi^{\pm,j}(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}\psi_n^{\pm,j}z^{-n}\ ,\quad j=1,2,\cdots,2N.$$

Then ,

Theorem 1 *([13]): The Drinfeld generators of $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ at level one are realized by the free boson fields as*

$$\begin{aligned}&c=1,\\&\psi^{\pm,j}(z)=e^{H^j_\pm(z)},\qquad j=1,2,\cdots,2N,\\&X^{\pm,i}(z)=:e^{\pm H^i(z;\mp\frac{1}{2})}\,Y^{\pm,i}(z):F^{\pm,i},\qquad i=1,2,\cdots,2N-1,\end{aligned}\tag{II.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&F^{\pm,2k-1}=\prod_{l=1}^{k-1}e^{\pm\sqrt{-1}\pi a_0^{2l-1}},\qquad F^{\pm,2k}=\prod_{l=1}^{k}e^{\mp\sqrt{-1}\pi a_0^{2l-1}},\\&Y^{+,2k-1}(z)=e^{c^k(z)},\\&Y^{-,2k-1}(z)=\frac{1}{z(q-q^{-1})}\left(e^{-c^k(qz)}-e^{-c^k(q^{-1}z)}\right),\\&Y^{+,2k}(z)=Y^{-,2k-1}(z)=\frac{1}{z(q-q^{-1})}\left(e^{-c^k(qz)}-e^{-c^k(q^{-1}z)}\right),\\&Y^{-,2k}(z)=-Y^{+,2k-1}(z)=-e^{c^k(z)},\qquad k=1,2,\cdots,N.\end{aligned}\tag{II.19}$$

III Bosonization of Level-One Vertex Operators

We consider the evaluation representation V_z of $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$, where V is an $2N$-dimensional graded vector space with basis vectors $\{v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_{2N}\}$. The $\mathbf{Z}_2$-grading of the basis vectors is chosen to be $[v_j]=\frac{(-1)^j+1}{2}$. Let $e_{j,j'}$ be the $2N\times 2N$ matrices satisfying $e_{i,j}v_k=\delta_{jk}v_i$. Denote by V^{*S} the left dual module of V defined by

$$(a\cdot v^*)(v)=(-1)^{[a][v^*]}v^*(S(a)v),\quad \forall a\in U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})],\ v\in V,\ v^*\in V^*.\tag{III.1}$$

Namely, the representations on V^{*S} are given by

$$\pi_{V^{*S}}(a)=\pi_V(S(a))^{st},\qquad \forall a\in U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})],\tag{III.2}$$

where st denotes the supertansposition defined by $(A_{i,j})^{st}=(-1)^{[j]([i]+[j])}A_{j,i}$. Let V_z^{*S} be the $2N$-dimensional evaluation module corresponding to V^{*S}.

In the homogeneous gradation, the Drinfeld generators are represented [13] on V_z by

$$\begin{aligned}H^i_m&=(-1)^{i+1}\frac{[m]_q}{m}q^{(-1)^im}\,(q^{x_i}z)^m\,(e_{i,i}+e_{i+1,i+1}),\\H^{2N}_m&=z^m\frac{[2m]_q}{m}\left[-q^m\sum_{l=1}^{N}e_{2l,2l}\right.\end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{l=1}^{N}(1-N+(l-1)(1-q^m))\,(e_{2l-1,2l-1}+e_{2l,2l})\Big],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0^i &= (-1)^{i+1}(e_{i,i}+e_{i+1,i+1}), \quad H_0^{2N}=\sum_{k=1}^{2N}(-1)^{k+1}e_{k,k},\\
X_m^{+,i} &= (q^{x_i}z)^m\,e_{i,i+1}, \quad X_m^{-,i}=(-1)^{i+1}\,(q^{x_i}z)^m\,e_{i+1,i},
\end{aligned}$$

and on V_z^{*S} by

$$\begin{aligned}
H_m^i &= (-1)^i\frac{[m]_q}{m}q^{(-1)^{i+1}m}\left(q^{-x_i}z\right)^m(e_{i,i}+e_{i+1,i+1}),\\
H_m^{2N} &= -z^m\frac{[2m]_q}{m}\Bigg[-q^{-m}\sum_{l=1}^{N}e_{2l,2l}\\
&\quad+\sum_{l=1}^{N}\Big(1-N+(l-1)(1-q^{-m})\Big)(e_{2l-1,2l-1}+e_{2l,2l})\Bigg],\\
H_0^i &= (-1)^i(e_{i,i}+e_{i+1,i+1}), \quad H_0^{2N}=\sum_{k=1}^{2N}(-1)^ke_{k,k},\\
X_m^{+,i} &= -(-1)^iq^{(-1)^i}\left(q^{-x_i}z\right)^m e_{i+1,i}, \quad X_m^{-,i}=-q^{(-1)^{i+1}}\left(q^{-x_i}z\right)^m e_{i,i+1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $i=1,\cdots,2N-1$, $x_i=\sum_{l=1}^{i}(-1)^{l+1}=\frac{(-1)^{i+1}+1}{2}$.

Let $V(\lambda)$ be the highest weight $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$-module with the highest weight λ. Consider the following intertwiners of $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$-modules [3]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V}(z) &: \quad V(\lambda)\longrightarrow V(\mu)\otimes V_z, && \text{(III.3)}\\
\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V^*}(z) &: \quad V(\lambda)\longrightarrow V(\mu)\otimes V_z^{*S}, && \text{(III.4)}\\
\Psi_\lambda^{V\mu}(z) &: \quad V(\lambda)\longrightarrow V_z\otimes V(\mu), && \text{(III.5)}\\
\Psi_\lambda^{V^*\mu}(z) &: \quad V(\lambda)\longrightarrow V_z^{*S}\otimes V(\mu). && \text{(III.6)}
\end{aligned}$$

They are intertwiners in the sense that for any $x\in U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$

$$\Xi(z)\cdot x=\Delta(x)\cdot\Xi(z), \quad \Xi(z)=\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V}(z),\ \Phi_\lambda^{\mu V^*}(z),\ \Psi_\lambda^{V\mu}(z),\ \Psi_\lambda^{V^*\mu}(z). \tag{III.7}$$

These intertwiners are even operators, that is their gradings are $[\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V}(z)]=[\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V^*}(z)]=[\Psi_\lambda^{V\mu}(z)]=[\Psi_\lambda^{V^*\mu}(z)]=0$. According to [3], $\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V}(z)$ $\left(\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V^*}(z)\right)$ is called type I (dual) vertex operator and $\Psi_\lambda^{V\mu}(z)$ $\left(\Psi_\lambda^{V^*\mu}(z)\right)$ type II (dual) vertex operator. The vertex operators can be expanded in the form

$$\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{2N}\Phi_{\lambda,j}^{\mu V}(z)\otimes v_j, \quad \Phi_\lambda^{\mu V^*}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{2N}\Phi_{\lambda,j}^{\mu V^*}(z)\otimes v_j^*, \tag{III.8}$$

$$\Psi_\lambda^{V\mu}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{2N}v_j\otimes\Psi_{\lambda,j}^{V\mu}(z), \quad \Psi_\lambda^{V^*\mu}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{2N}v_j^*\otimes\Psi_{\lambda,j}^{V^*\mu}(z). \tag{III.9}$$

Following [13], we introduce the combinations of bosonic oscillators:

$$A_n^{*i}=\sum_{l=1}^{2N-1}a_{il}^{-1}A_n^l+\frac{2}{q^n+q^{-n}}a_{i,2N}^{-1}A_n^{2N}, \quad i=1,2,\cdots,2N-1, \tag{III.10}$$

$$A_n^{*2N} = 2N \sum_{l=1}^{2N} a_{2N,l}^{-1} A_n^l, \quad n \neq 0, \tag{III.11}$$

$$A_0^{*j} = \sum_{l=1}^{2N} a_{jl}^{-1} A_0^l, \qquad Q_{A^j}^* = \sum_{l=1}^{2N} a_{jl}^{-1} Q_{A^l}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N, \tag{III.12}$$

and define the currents

$$H^{*,j}(z;\kappa) = Q_{A^j}^* + A_0^{*j} \ln z - \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{A_n^{*j}}{[n]_q} q^{k|n|} z^{-n}, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N-1, \tag{III.13}$$

$$B_{2N}(z;\kappa) = Q_{A^{2N}}^* + A_0^{*2N} \ln z - \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{A_n^{*2N}}{[n]_q} q^{\kappa|n|} z^{-n}, \tag{III.14}$$

$$B_1(z;\kappa) = Q_{A^{2N}}^* + A_0^{*2N} \ln z + \frac{N-1}{N} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{A_n^{*2N}}{[n]_q} q^{\kappa|n|} z^{-n}. \tag{III.15}$$

Consider the even operators

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \phi_j(z) \otimes v_j, \qquad \phi^*(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \phi_j^*(z) \otimes v_j^*,$$
$$\psi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} v_j \otimes \psi_j(z), \qquad \psi^*(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} v_j^* \otimes \psi_j^*(z).$$

They obey the same intertwining relations as $\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V}(z)$, $\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V^*}(z)$, $\Psi_\lambda^{V\mu}(z)$ and $\Psi_\lambda^{V^*\mu}(z)$, respectively.

Intertwining operators which satisfy (III.7) for any $x \in U_q[sl(\widehat{N|N})]$ have been constructed by one of the authors [13]. We extend the result to $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ by requiring that the vertex operators found in [13] also satisfy (III.7) for the element $x = H_m^{2N}$ (which extends $U_q[sl(\widehat{N|N})]$ to $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$). We find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi_{2N}(z) =: e^{-H^{*2N-1}(qz;\frac{1}{2})+B_{2N}(zq^2;\frac{1}{2})} e^{c^N(qz)} : e^{-\sqrt{-1}\pi N_f},\\
&(-1)^l \phi_l(z) = [\phi_{l+1}(z)\ ,\ f_l]_{q^{(-1)^l}},\\
&\phi_1^*(z) =: e^{H^{*,1}(qz;\frac{1}{2})+B_1(qz;\frac{1}{2})} : e^{\sqrt{-1}\pi N_f},\\
&q^{(-1)^{l+1}} \phi_{l+1}^*(z) = [\phi_l^*(z)\ , f_l]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}},\\
&\psi_1(z) =: e^{-H^{*,1}(qz;-\frac{1}{2})-B_1(qz;-\frac{1}{2})} : e^{-\sqrt{-1}\pi N_f},\\
&\psi_{l+1}(z) = [\psi_l(z)\ , e_l]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}},\\
&\psi_{2N}^*(z) =: e^{H^{*2N-1}(qz;-\frac{1}{2})-B_{2N}(z;-\frac{1}{2})} \partial_z \{e^{-c^N(qz)}\} : e^{\sqrt{-1}\pi N_f},\\
&(-1)^{l+1} q^{(-1)^l} \psi_l^*(z) = [\psi_{l+1}^*(z)\ ,\ e_l]_{q^{(-1)^l}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{III.16}$$

where the q-difference derivative ∂_z is defined by

$$\partial_z f(z) = \frac{f(zq) - f(zq^{-1})}{(q - q^{-1})z}$$

and $N_f = \sum_{l=1}^N a_0^{2l}$ is the Fermi-number operator satisfying

$$(-1)^{N_f} \Xi(z) = (-1)^{[\Xi(z)]} \Xi(z), \qquad \text{for } \Xi(z) = X^{\pm,i}(z),\ \phi_i(z),\ \phi_i^*(z),\ \psi_i(z),\ \psi_i^*(z).$$

Since $\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V}(z)$, $\Phi_\lambda^{\mu V^*}(z)$, $\Psi_\lambda^{V\mu}(z)$ and $\Psi_\lambda^{V^*\mu}(z)$ obey the same intertwining relations as $\phi(z)$, $\phi^*(z)$, $\psi(z)$ and $\psi^*(z)$ respectively, we have

Proposition 1 *: The vertex operators $\Phi^{\mu V}_\lambda(z)$, $\Phi^{\mu V^*}_\lambda(z)$, $\Psi^{V\mu}_\lambda(z)$ and $\Psi^{V^*\mu}_\lambda(z)$, if they exist, have the same bosonized expressions as the operators $\phi(z)$, $\phi^*(z)$, $\psi(z)$ and $\psi^*(z)$, respectively.*

IV Exchange Relations of Vertex Operators

In this section, we derive the exchange relations of the type I and type II bosonized vertex operators of $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$. As expected, these vertex operators satisfy the graded Faddeev-Zamolodchikov algebra.

IV.1 The R-matrix

Let $R(z) \in End(V\otimes V)$ be the R-matrix of $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$, defined by :

$$R(z)(v_i\otimes v_j)=\sum_{k,l=1}^{2N}R^{ij}_{kl}(z)v_k\otimes v_l,\quad \forall v_i,v_j,v_k,v_l\in V, \tag{IV.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{2l-1,2l-1}_{2l-1,2l-1}(z)=1,\qquad R^{2l,2l}_{2l,2l}(z)=\frac{zq^{-1}-q}{zq-q^{-1}},\quad l=1,2,\cdots,N,\\
&R^{ij}_{ij}(z)=\frac{z-1}{zq-q^{-1}},\quad i\neq j,\\
&R^{ji}_{ij}(z)=\frac{q-q^{-1}}{zq-q^{-1}}(-1)^{[i][j]},\quad i<j,\\
&R^{ji}_{ij}(z)=\frac{(q-q^{-1})z}{zq-q^{-1}}(-1)^{[i][j]},\quad i>j,\\
&R^{ij}_{kl}(z)=0,\quad \text{otherwise.}
\end{aligned}$$

The R-matrix satisfies the graded Yang-Baxter equation on $V\otimes V\otimes V$

$$R_{12}(z)R_{13}(zw)R_{23}(w)=R_{23}(w)R_{13}(zw)R_{12}(z),$$

and moreover enjoys : (i) initial condition, $R(1)=P$ with P being the graded permutation operator; (ii) unitarity condition, $R_{12}(\frac{z}{w})R_{21}(\frac{w}{z})=1$, where $R_{21}(z)=PR_{12}(z)P$; and (iii) crossing-unitarity,

$$R^{-1,st_1}(z)R(z)^{st_1}=\frac{(z-1)^2}{(q^{-1}z-q)(zq-q^{-1})}.$$

The various supertranspositions of the R-matrix are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(R^{st_1}(z))^{kl}_{ij}=R^{il}_{kj}(z)(-1)^{[i]([i]+[k])},\qquad (R^{st_2}(z))^{kl}_{ij}=R^{kj}_{il}(z)(-1)^{[j]([l]+[j])},\\
&(R^{st_{12}}(z))^{kl}_{ij}=R^{ij}_{kl}(z)(-1)^{([i]+[j])([i]+[j]+[k]+[l])}=R^{ij}_{kl}(z).
\end{aligned}$$

IV.2 The graded Faddeev-Zamolodchikov algebra

We calculate the exchange relations of the type I and type II bosonic vertex operators of $U_q[\widehat{gl(N|N)}]$ given by (III.16). Define

$$\oint dzf(z)=Res(f)=f_{-1},\ \text{for a formal series function}\ f(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}f_nz^n.$$

Then, the Chevalley generators of $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ can be expressed by the integrals

$$e_i = \oint dz X^{+,i}(z), \quad f_i = \oint dz X^{-,i}(z), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N-1.$$

One can also get the integral expressions of the bosonic vertex operators $\phi(z)$, $\phi^*(z)$, $\psi(z)$ and $\phi^*(z)$ from (III.16). Using these integral expressions and the relations given in appendices A and B, we arrive at

Proposition 2 *: The bosonic vertex operators defined in (III.16) satisfy the graded Faddeev-Zamolodchikov algebra*

$$\phi_j(z_2)\phi_i(z_1) = (\frac{z_2}{z_1})^{2-\frac{1}{N}} \sum_{k,l=1}^{2N} R_{ij}^{kl}(\frac{z_1}{z_2})\phi_k(z_1)\phi_l(z_2)(-1)^{[i][j]}, \tag{IV.18}$$

$$\psi_i^*(z_1)\psi_j^*(z_2) = (\frac{z_1}{z_2})^{2-\frac{1}{N}} \sum_{k,l=1}^{2N} R_{kl}^{ij}(\frac{z_1}{z_2})\psi_l^*(z_2)\psi_k^*(z_1)(-1)^{[i][j]}, \tag{IV.19}$$

$$\psi_i^*(z_1)\phi_j(z_2) = (\frac{qz_2}{z_1})^{2-\frac{1}{N}}\phi_j(z_2)\psi_i^*(z_1)(-1)^{[i][j]}. \tag{IV.20}$$

In the derivation of this proposition the fact that $R_{ij}^{kl}(z)(-1)^{[k][l]} = R_{ij}^{kl}(z)(-1)^{[i][j]}$ is helpful.

We can also generalize the Miki's construction to the $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$ case. Define

$$L^+(z)_i^j = \phi_i(zq^{1/2})\psi_j^*(zq^{-1/2}),$$
$$L^-(z)_i^j = \phi_i(zq^{-1/2})\psi_j^*(zq^{1/2}).$$

Proposition 3 *: The L-operators $L^\pm(z)$ defined above give a realization of the super RS algebra [17] at level one for the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q[gl(\widehat{N|N})]$*

$$R(z/w)L_1^\pm(z)L_2^\pm(w) = L_2^\pm(w)L_1^\pm(z)R(z/w),$$
$$R(z^+/w^-)L_1^+(z)L_2^-(w) = L_2^-(w)L_1^+(z)R(z^-/w^+),$$

where $L_1^\pm(z) = L^\pm(z)\otimes 1$, $L_2^\pm(z) = 1\otimes L^\pm(z)$ and $z^\pm = zq^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. Straightforward computation by using (IV.18)-(IV.20).

V Highest Weight Representation of $U_q[gl(\widehat{2|2})]$ and Associated Vertex Operators

In this section we study in details the irreducible highest weight representations of $U_q[gl(\widehat{2|2})]$ and their associated vertex operators.

V.1 Highest weight $U_q[gl(\widehat{2|2})]$-modules

We begin by defining the Fock module. Denote by $F_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6}$ the bosonic Fock spaces generated by $a^i_{-m}, c^l_{-m} (m>0)$ over the vector $|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6>$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6} \; &= \; \mathbf{C}\Big[a^1_{-1}, a^1_{-2}\cdots; a^2_{-1}, a^2_{-2}\cdots; a^3_{-1}, a^3_{-2},\cdots; a^4_{-1}, a^4_{-2},\cdots; \\ &\quad c^1_{-1}, c^1_{-2},\cdots; c^2_{-1}, c^2_{-2},\cdots\Big]\,|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6>, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6>=e^{\sum_{i=1}^4\lambda_iQ_{a^i}+\lambda_5Q_{c^1}+\lambda_6Q_{c^2}}|0> .$$

The vacuum vector $|0>$ is defined by $a^i_m|0>=c^l_m|0>=0$ for $i=1,2,3,4,\ \ l=1,2$ and $m\geq 0$. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned}&a^i_m|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6>=0, \quad \text{for } i=1,2,3,4 \text{ and } m>0,\\ &c^l_m|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6>=0, \quad \text{for } l=1,2 \text{ and } m>0.\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the highest weight vectors of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$, we impose the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}&e_i|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6>=0, \quad i=0,1,2,3,\\ &h_j|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6>=\lambda^j|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6>, \quad j=0,1,2,3,4.\end{aligned} \tag{V.1}$$

Solving these equations, we obtain the following classification:

1. $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6)=(\beta,-\beta,\beta,-\beta;0,0)$, where β is arbitrary. The weight of this vector is $(\lambda^0,\lambda^1,\lambda^2,\lambda^3,\lambda^4)$=$(1,0,0,0,4\beta)$. We have $|\Lambda_0+4\beta\Lambda_4>=|\beta,-\beta,\beta,-\beta;0,0>$.

2. $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6)=(\beta+1,-\beta-1,\beta+1,-\beta;0,0)$, where β is arbitrary. The weight of this vector is $(\lambda^0,\lambda^1,\lambda^2,\lambda^3,\lambda^4)$=$(0,0,0,1,4\beta+3)$. We have $|\Lambda_3+(4\beta+3)\Lambda_4>=|\beta+1,-\beta-1,\beta+1,-\beta;0,0>$.

3. $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4;\lambda_5,\lambda_6)=(\beta+1,-\beta-1+\alpha,\beta,-\beta;-\alpha,0)$, where β is arbitrary. The weight of this vector is $(\lambda^0,\lambda^1,\lambda^2,\lambda^3,\lambda^4)$=$(0,\alpha,1-\alpha,0,4\beta+2-\alpha)$. We have $|\alpha\Lambda_1+(1-\alpha)\Lambda_2+(4\beta+2-\alpha)\Lambda_4>=|\beta+1,-\beta-1+\alpha,\beta,-\beta;-\alpha,0>$.

According to this classification, we introduce the Fock spaces

$$\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}=\oplus_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}}F_{\beta+i,\ -\beta-i+j,\ \beta-j+k,\ -\beta-k;\ i-j,\ k}, \tag{V.2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}=\oplus_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}}F_{\beta+1+i,\ -\beta-1-i+j,\ \beta+1-j+k,\ -\beta-k;\ i-j,\ k}, \tag{V.3}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}=\oplus_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}}F_{\beta+1+i,\ -\beta-1+\alpha-i+j,\ \beta-j+k,\ -\beta-k;\ -\alpha+i-j,\ k}. \tag{V.4}$$

It can be shown that the bosonized action of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$ on $\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}$ is closed, i.e.

$$U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}=\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}, \quad \text{for } *=(0,1),(1,0),\alpha.$$

Hence each Fack space in (V.2)-(V.4) constitutes a $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-module. However, these modules are not irreducible in general. To obtain the irreducible representations, we introduce two pairs of "fermionic" currents

$$\eta^i(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}\eta^i_nz^{-n-1}=:e^{c^i(z)}:, \qquad \xi^i(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}\xi^i_nz^{-n}=:e^{-c^i(z)}:, \quad i=1,2.$$

The mode expansion of $\eta^i(z)$ and $\xi^i(z)$ is well defined on $\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}$,$\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}$ with $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}$, and the modes satisfy the relations

$$\xi^i_m\xi^i_n+\xi^i_n\xi^i_m=\eta^i_m\eta^i_n+\eta^i_n\eta^i_m=0\ , \quad \xi^i_m\eta^i_n+\eta^i_n\xi^i_m=\delta_{m+n,0}\ , \quad i=1,2, \tag{V.5}$$

$$\xi^1_m\xi^2_n-\xi^2_n\xi^1_m=\xi^1_m\eta^2_n-\eta^2_n\xi^1_m=\eta^1_m\xi^2_n-\xi^2_n\eta^1_m=\eta^1_m\eta^2_n-\eta^2_n\eta^1_m=0. \tag{V.6}$$

Thus, we have the direct sum decompositions

$$\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}=\eta^1_0\xi^1_0\eta^2_0\xi^2_0\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}\oplus\eta^1_0\xi^1_0\xi^2_0\eta^2_0\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}\oplus\xi^1_0\eta^1_0\eta^2_0\xi^2_0\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}\oplus\xi^1_0\eta^1_0\xi^2_0\eta^2_0\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}, \tag{V.7}$$

with $* = (0,1), (1,0), \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}$. As usual, we name

$$\begin{array}{rcl} KKer|_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}} & \text{as} & \eta_0^1\xi_0^1\eta_0^2\xi_0^2\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}, \\ KCoker|_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}} & \text{as} & \eta_0^1\xi_0^1\xi_0^2\eta_0^2\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}, \\ CKer|_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}} & \text{as} & \xi_0^1\eta_0^1\eta_0^2\xi_0^2\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}, \\ CCoker|_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}} & \text{as} & \xi_0^1\eta_0^1\xi_0^2\eta_0^2\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}. \end{array}$$

Since η_0^1 and η_0^2 commute (or anticommute) with the bosonized actions of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$, $KKer|_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$, $CKer|_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$, $KCoker|_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$ and $CCoker|_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$ are all the $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules.

From now on, we study the characters and supercharacters of these $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules which are constructed in the bosonic Fock spaces. We first of all bosonize the derivation operator d as

$$\begin{aligned} d &= -\sum_{m>0}\frac{m^2}{[m]_q^2}\{\sum_{i=1}^{3}A_{-m}^iA_m^{*i} + \frac{1}{2(q^m+q^{-m})}A_{-m}^4A_m^{*4} + c_{-m}^1c_m^1 + c_{-m}^2c_m^2\} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\{\sum_{i=1}^{4}A_0^iA_0^{*i} + c_0^1(c_0^1+1) + c_0^2(c_0^2+1)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{V.8}$$

One can easily check that this d obeys the commutation relations

$$[d,h_j]=0, \quad [d,h_m^j]=mh_m^j, \quad [d,X_m^{\pm,i}]=mX_m^{\pm,i}, \quad j=1,2,3,4, \quad i=1,2,3,$$

as required. Moreover, we have $[d,\xi_0^l]=[d,\eta_0^l]=0$ for $l=1,2$.

The character and supercharacter of a $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-module M are defined by

$$Ch_M(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = tr_M(q^{-d}x_1^{h_1}x_2^{h_2}x_3^{h_3}x_4^{h_4}), \tag{V.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Sch_M(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= Str_M(q^{-d}x_1^{h_1}x_2^{h_2}x_3^{h_3}x_4^{h_4}) \\ &= tr_M((-1)^{N_f}q^{-d}x_1^{h_1}x_2^{h_2}x_3^{h_3}x_4^{h_4}), \end{aligned} \tag{V.10}$$

respectively.

- (I) *Character of $\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}$ for $\alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}$.* Since η_0^1, η_0^2 are not defined on this module, it is expected that $\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}$ is an irreducible highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-module. Thus, we have

Conjecture 1 : *We have the identification of the highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules:*

$$\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)} \cong V(\alpha\Lambda_1 + (1-\alpha)\Lambda_2 + (4\beta+2-\alpha)\Lambda_4) \quad for \quad \alpha \notin \mathbf{Z} \text{ and arbitrary } \beta\ ,$$

where and throughout $V(\lambda)$ denotes the irreducible highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-module with the highest weight λ.

Proposition 4 *: The character and supercharacter of $\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}$ are*

$$\begin{aligned} Ch_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \\ &\quad\times\sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+i+j+k)}x_1^{\alpha+j}x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Sch_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \\ &\quad\times\sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} (-1)^{\alpha-1+i-j+k}q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+i+j+k)}x_1^{\alpha+j}x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)}. \end{aligned}$$

- (II) *Characters and supercharacters of* $KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$, $CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$, $KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$ *and* $CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$ *for* $* = (0,1), (1,0), \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}$. In this case, η_0^1 and η_0^2 are well defined. We shall calculate the characters and supercharacters of these modules by using the BRST resolution [5].

Let us define the Fock spaces, for $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{((0,1);\beta)} &= \oplus_{i,j,k \in \mathbf{Z}} F_{\beta+i,\ -\beta-i+j,\ \beta-j+k,\ -\beta-k;\ i-j+l_1,\ k+l_2}, \\
\mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{((1,0);\beta)} &= \oplus_{i,j,k \in \mathbf{Z}} F_{\beta+1+i,\ -\beta-1-i+j,\ \beta+1-j+k,\ -\beta-k;\ i-j+l_1,\ k+l_2}, \\
\mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(\alpha;\beta)} &= \oplus_{i,j,k \in \mathbf{Z}} F_{\beta+1+i,\ -\beta-1+\alpha-i+j,\ \beta-j+k,\ -\beta-k;\ -\alpha+i-j+l_1,\ k+l_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathcal{F}^{(0,0)}_{(*;\beta)} = \mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}$. It can be shown that η_0^i and ξ_0^i intertwine these Fock spaces in the following fashions

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_0^1 :\ \ & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(l_1+1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)}, \qquad & \eta_0^2 :\ \ & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2+1)}_{(*;\beta)}, \\
\xi_0^1 :\ \ & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(l_1-1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)}, \qquad & \xi_0^2 :\ \ & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2-1)}_{(*;\beta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following two BRST complexes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "Q^{(1)}_{l_1-1}=\eta_0^1"] & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \arrow[r, "Q^{(1)}_{l_1}=\eta_0^1"] \arrow[d, dash, "\mathbf{O}"] & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1+1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \arrow[r, "Q^{(1)}_{l_1+1}=\eta_0^1"] \arrow[d, dash, "\mathbf{O}"] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "Q^{(1)}_{l_1-1}=\eta_0^1"] & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \arrow[r, "Q^{(1)}_{l_1}=\eta_0^1"] & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1+1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \arrow[r, "Q^{(1)}_{l_1+1}=\eta_0^1"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd} \tag{V.11}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "Q^{(2)}_{l_2-1}=\eta_0^2"] & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \arrow[r, "Q^{(2)}_{l_2}=\eta_0^2"] \arrow[d, dash, "\mathbf{O}"] & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2+1)}_{(*;\beta)} \arrow[r, "Q^{(2)}_{l_2+1}=\eta_0^2"] \arrow[d, dash, "\mathbf{O}"] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "Q^{(2)}_{l_2-1}=\eta_0^2"] & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \arrow[r, "Q^{(2)}_{l_2}=\eta_0^2"] & \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2+1)}_{(*;\beta)} \arrow[r, "Q^{(2)}_{l_2+1}=\eta_0^2"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd} \tag{V.12}$$

where $\mathbf{O}$ is an operator such that $\mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)}$, and moreover $\mathbf{O}$ commutes with the BRST charges $Q^{(1)}_l$ and $Q^{(2)}_l$. Then,

Proposition 5 *:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& Ker_{Q^{(i)}_l} = Im_{Q^{(i)}_{l-1}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \text{for any } l \in \mathbf{Z}, \\
& tr(\mathbf{O})|_{Ker_{Q^{(i)}_l}} = tr(\mathbf{O})|_{Im_{Q^{(i)}_{l-1}}} = tr(\mathbf{O})|_{Coker_{Q^{(i)}_{l-1}}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{V.13}$$

Proof. It follows from the fact that $\eta_0^i \xi_0^i + \xi_0^i \eta_0^i = 1$, $(\eta_0^i)^2 = (\xi_0^i)^2 = 0$ and $\eta_0^i \xi_0^i$ $(\xi_0^i \eta_0^i)$ are the projection operators from $\mathcal{F}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{(*;\beta)}$ to $Ker_{Q^{(i)}_{l_i}}$ $(Coker_{Q^{(i)}_{l_i}})$.

By proposition 5, we can compute the characters and supercharacters of $KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$, $CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$, $KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$ and $CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}}$ for $* = (0,1), (1,0), \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have

Proposition 6 *: The characters and supercharacters of* $KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(0,1);\beta)}}$, $CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}$, $KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}$ *and* $CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}$ *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
Ch_{KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6}\sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l_1+l_2}q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-l_1-l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i-(1-2l_1)j+(1-2l_2)k)}x_1^j x_2^{i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2i-2j+2k)}, \\
Ch_{CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6}\sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l_1+l_2}q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+l_1-l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i-(1+2l_1)j+(1-2l_2)k)}x_1^j x_2^{i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2i-2j+2k)}, \\
Ch_{KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6}\sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l_1+l_2}q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-l_1+l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i-(1-2l_1)j+(1+2l_2)k)}x_1^j x_2^{i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2i-2j+2k)}, \\
Ch_{CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6}\sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l_1+l_2}q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+l_1+l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i-(1+2l_1)j+(1+2l_2)k)}x_1^j x_2^{i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2i-2j+2k)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Sch_{KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6}\sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l_1+l_2}q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-l_1-l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{i-j+k}q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i-(1-2l_1)j+(1-2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^j x_2^{i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2i-2j+2k)}\Big], \\
Sch_{CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6}\sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l_1+l_2}q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+l_1-l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{i-j+k}q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i-(1+2l_1)j+(1-2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^j x_2^{i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2i-2j+2k)}\Big], \\
Sch_{KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6}\sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l_1+l_2}q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-l_1+l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{i-j+k}q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i-(1-2l_1)j+(1+2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^j x_2^{i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2i-2j+2k)}\Big], \\
Sch_{CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6}\sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l_1+l_2}q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+l_1+l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{i-j+k}q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i-(1+2l_1)j+(1+2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^j x_2^{i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2i-2j+2k)}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7 *: The characters and supercharacters of* $KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(1,0);\beta)}}$, $CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}$, $KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}$ *and* $CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}$ *are given by*

$$Ch_{KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-l_1-l_2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i-(1-2l_1)j+(3-2l_2)k)} x_1^j x_2^{i-k} x_3^{1-j} x_4^{(4\beta+3+2i-2j+2k)},$$

$$Ch_{CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+l_1-l_2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i-(1+2l_1)j+(3-2l_2)k)} x_1^j x_2^{i-k} x_3^{1-j} x_4^{(4\beta+3+2i-2j+2k)},$$

$$Ch_{KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-l_1+l_2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i-(1-2l_1)j+(3+2l_2)k)} x_1^j x_2^{i-k} x_3^{1-j} x_4^{(4\beta+3+2i-2j+2k)},$$

$$Ch_{CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+l_1+l_2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i-(1+2l_1)j+(++2l_2)k)} x_1^j x_2^{i-k} x_3^{-j} x_4^{(4\beta+3+2i-2j+2k)},$$

and

$$Sch_{KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-l_1-l_2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{1+i-j+k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i-(1-2l_1)j+(3-2l_2)k)}$$
$$\times\ x_1^j x_2^{i-k} x_3^{1-j} x_4^{(4\beta+3+2i-2j+2k)}\Big],$$

$$Sch_{CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+l_1-l_2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{1+i-j+k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i-(1+2l_1)j+(3-2l_2)k)}$$
$$\times\ x_1^j x_2^{i-k} x_3^{1-j} x_4^{(4\beta+3+2i-2j+2k)}\Big],$$

$$Sch_{KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-l_1+l_2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{1+i-j+k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i-(1-2l_1)j+(3+2l_2)k)}$$
$$\times\ x_1^j x_2^{i-k} x_3^{1-j} x_4^{(4\beta+3+2i-2j+2k)}\Big],$$

$$Sch_{CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+l_1+l_2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{1+i-j+k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i-(1+2l_1)j+(++2l_2)k)}$$
$$\times\ x_1^j x_2^{i-k} x_3^{-j} x_4^{(4\beta+3+2i-2j+2k)}\Big].$$

Proposition 8 *: The characters and supercharacters of $KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}$, $CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}$, $KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}$ and $CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
Ch_{KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-(1-2\alpha)l_1-l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i+(1+2l_1)j+(1-2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^{\alpha+j} x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k} x_3^{-j} x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)} \Big], \\
Ch_{CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+(1-2\alpha)l_1-l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i+(1-2l_1)j+(1-2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^{\alpha+j} x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k} x_3^{-j} x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)} \Big], \\
Ch_{KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-(1-2\alpha)l_1+l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i+(1+2l_1)j+(1+2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^{\alpha+j} x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k} x_3^{-j} x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)} \Big], \\
Ch_{KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+(1-2\alpha)l_1+l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i+(1-2l_1)j+(1+2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^{\alpha+j} x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k} x_3^{-j} x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)} \Big],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Sch_{KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-(1-2\alpha)l_1-l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{1-\alpha+i-j+k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i+(1+2l_1)j+(1-2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^{\alpha+j} x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k} x_3^{-j} x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)} \Big], \\
Sch_{CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+(1-2\alpha)l_1-l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{1-\alpha+i-j+k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i+(1-2l_1)j+(1-2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^{\alpha+j} x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k} x_3^{-j} x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)} \Big], \\
Sch_{KCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6} \sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l_1+l_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2-(1-2\alpha)l_1+l_2)} \\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}} \Big[(-1)^{1-\alpha+i-j+k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1-2l_1)i+(1+2l_1)j+(1+2l_2)k)} \\
&\times x_1^{\alpha+j} x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k} x_3^{-j} x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)} \Big],
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Sch_{CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}}(q,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha(2\beta+1)}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^6}\sum_{l_1,l_2=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l_1+l_2}q^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1^2+l_2^2+(1-2\alpha)l_1+l_2)}\\
&\times \sum_{i,j,k\in\mathbf{Z}}\Big[(-1)^{1-\alpha+i-j+k}q^{\frac{1}{2}(i^2+j^2+k^2-2kj+(1+2l_1)i+(1-2l_1)j+(1+2l_2)k)}\\
&\times x_1^{\alpha+j}x_2^{1-\alpha+i-k}x_3^{-j}x_4^{(4\beta+2-\alpha+2i-2j+2k)}\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We sketch the proof of these three propositions. Since $q^{-d}x_1^{h_1}x_2^{h_2}x_3^{h_3}x_4^{h_4}$ and $(-1)^{N_f}q^{-d}x_1^{h_1}x_2^{h_2}x_3^{h_3}x_4^{h_4}$ commute with the BRST charges $Q_l^{(i)}$ and $[Q_l^{(1)},Q_{l'}^{(2)}]=0$, the trace over Ker and $Coker$ can be written as the sum of trace over $\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}^{(l_1,l_2)}$. The latter can be computed by the technique introduced in [21].

Note that $\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}^{(1,1)}=\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta-1)}$, we have

Corollary 1 *: The following relations hold for any* $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}$ *and* β,

$$
Ch_{CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta+1)}}} = Ch_{KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(*,\beta)}}}, \tag{V.14}
$$

$$
Sch_{CCoker_{\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta+1)}}} = Sch_{KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(*,\beta)}}}. \tag{V.15}
$$

Now, we study the $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-module structures of $\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}$ for $*=(0,1),(1,0)$, $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_\beta^0=\Lambda_0+4\beta\Lambda_4, \qquad \lambda_\beta^3=\Lambda_3+(4\beta+3)\Lambda_4,\\
&\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}=\alpha\Lambda_1+(1-\alpha)\Lambda_2+(4\beta+2-\alpha)\Lambda_4, \quad \text{for } \alpha\in\mathbf{Z},
\end{aligned}
$$

for arbitrary β and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\lambda_\beta^0>=|\beta,-\beta,\beta,-\beta;0,0>\in\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)},\\
&|\lambda_\beta^3>=|\beta+1,-\beta-1,\beta+1,-\beta;0,0>\in\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)},\\
&|\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}>=|\beta+1,-\beta-1+\alpha,\beta,-\beta;-\alpha,0>\in\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)},\ \alpha\in\mathbf{Z}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The above vectors play the role of the highest weight vectors of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules in the Fack spaces $\mathcal{F}_{(*;\beta)}$ with $*=(0,1),(1,0)$, $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}$. One can verify that ($l=1,2$ below)

$$
\eta_0^l|\lambda_\beta^0>=\eta_0^l|\lambda_\beta^3>=\eta_0^l|\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}>=0, \quad \text{for } \alpha=0,-1,-2,\cdots, \tag{V.16}
$$

$$
\eta_0^2|\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}>=0, \qquad \eta_0^1|\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}>\neq 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha=1,2,\cdots. \tag{V.17}
$$

It follows that the modules $KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}$, $KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}}$ are highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules with highest weights λ_β^0, λ_β^3 respectively, while $KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}$ ($\alpha=0,-1,-2,\cdots$) and $CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}$ ($\alpha=1,2,\cdots$) are highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules with highest weights $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}$. We denote them by $\overline{V}(\lambda_\beta^0)$, $\overline{V}(\lambda_\beta^3)$ and $\overline{V}(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta})$, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{V}(\lambda_\beta^0) &\cong KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((0,1);\beta)}}, \qquad \overline{V}(\lambda_\beta^3)\cong KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{((1,0);\beta)}},\\
\overline{V}(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) &\cong KKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}, \quad \text{for } \alpha=0,-1,-2,\cdots\\
&\cong CKer_{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)}}, \quad \text{for } \alpha=1,2,3,\cdots.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is expected that the modules $\overline{V}(\lambda_\beta^0)$, $\overline{V}(\lambda_\beta^0)$, $\overline{V}(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta})$ are also irreducible with respect to the action of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$. Namely,

Conjecture 2: *$\overline{V}(\lambda^0_\beta)$, $\overline{V}(\lambda^3_\beta)$ and $\overline{V}(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta})$ are the irreducible highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules with the highest weight λ^0_β, λ^3_β and $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}$, respectively, i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{V}(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) &= V(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}, && \text{(V.18)} \\ \overline{V}(\lambda^0_\beta) &= V(\lambda^0_\beta), \qquad \overline{V}(\lambda^3_\beta) = V(\lambda^3_\beta). && \text{(V.19)} \end{aligned}$$

V.2 Vertex operators of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$

In this subsection we study the action of the type I and type II vertex operators of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$ on the highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules.

Using the bosonic representations of the vertex operators (III.16), we have the homomorphisms of $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) &: \mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{(\alpha-1;\beta)} \otimes V_z, \\ \psi(z) &: \mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)} \longrightarrow V_z \otimes \mathcal{F}_{(\alpha-1;\beta)}, && \text{(V.20)} \\ \phi^*(z) &: \mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{(\alpha+1;\beta)} \otimes V_z^{*S}, \\ \psi^*(z) &: \mathcal{F}_{(\alpha;\beta)} \longrightarrow V_z^{*S} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{(\alpha+1;\beta)}. && \text{(V.21)} \end{aligned}$$

Then we consider the vertex operators which intertwine the highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules by using the above results. For $\alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}$, we have by conjecture 1:

Conjecture 3: *The following vertex operators associated with the level-one irreducible highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules exist:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z)^{\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta}\ V}_{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}(z) &: \quad V(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) \longrightarrow V(\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta}) \otimes V_z, \\ \Psi(z)^{V\ \lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta}}_{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}} &: \quad V(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) \longrightarrow V_z \otimes V(\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta}), \\ \Phi(z)^{\lambda_{\alpha+1,\beta}\ V^*}_{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}(z) &: \quad V(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) \longrightarrow V(\lambda_{\alpha+1,\beta}) \otimes V_z^{*S}, \\ \Psi(z)^{V^*\ \lambda_{\alpha+1,\beta}}_{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}} &: \quad V(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) \longrightarrow V_z^{*S} \otimes V(\lambda_{\alpha+1,\beta}), && \text{(V.22)} \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

It is easy to see that the bosonized vertex operators (III.16) also commute (or anticommute) with η^1_0 and η^2_0. Noting this property, the homomorphisms (V.20)-(V.21) and conjecture 2, we have

Conjecture 4: *For $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}$, the following vertex operators associated with the level-one irreducible highest weight $U_q[\widehat{gl(2|2)}]$-modules exist:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z)^{\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta}\ V}_{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}(z) &: \quad V(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) \longrightarrow V(\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta}) \otimes V_z, \quad \alpha \neq 1, \\ \Psi(z)^{V\ \lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta}}_{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}} &: \quad V(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) \longrightarrow V_z \otimes V(\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta}), \quad \alpha \neq 1, \\ \Phi(z)^{\lambda_{\alpha+1,\beta}\ V^*}_{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}(z) &: \quad V(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) \longrightarrow V(\lambda_{\alpha+1,\beta}) \otimes V_z^{*S}, \quad \alpha \neq 0, \\ \Psi(z)^{V^*\ \lambda_{\alpha+1,\beta}}_{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}} &: \quad V(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}) \longrightarrow V_z^{*S} \otimes V(\lambda_{\alpha+1,\beta}), \quad \alpha \neq 0. && \text{(V.23)} \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements.

This work has been financially supported by Australian Research Council large, small and QEII fellowship grants. We would like to thank Prof. B.Y. Hou for his encouragement and useful discussions. W.L. Yang thanks Y.-Z. Zhang and the department of Mathematics, the University of Queensland, for their kind hospitality. W.L. Yang was also partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Appendix A

In this appendix, we give the normal ordered relations of the fundamental bosonic fields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&: e^{H^i(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{H^j(w;\beta_2)} := (z - wq^{\beta_1+\beta_2})^{a_{ij}} : e^{H^i(z;\beta_1)+H^j(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{H^i(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{H^{*j}(w;\beta_2)} := (z - wq^{\beta_1+\beta_2})^{\delta_{ij}} : e^{H^i(z;\beta_1)+H^{*j}(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{H^{*i}(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{H^j(w;\beta_2)} := (z - wq^{\beta_1+\beta_2})^{\delta_{ij}} : e^{H^{*i}(z;\beta_1)+H^j(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{H^{*1}(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{H^{*2N-1}(w;\beta_2)} :=: e^{H^{*1}(z;\beta_1)+H^{*2N-1}(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{H^i(w;\beta_2)} :=: e^{H^i(w;\beta_2)} :: e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)} :=: e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)+H^i(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{B_1(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{H^i(w;\beta_2)} :=: e^{H^i(w;\beta_2)} :: e^{B_1(z;\beta_1)} :=: e^{B_1(z;\beta_1)+H^i(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{B_{2N}(w;\beta_2)} :=: e^{B_{2N}(w;\beta_2)} :: e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)} :=: e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)+B_{2N}(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{B_1(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{B_1(w;\beta_2)} :=: e^{B_1(w;\beta_2)} :: e^{B_1(z;\beta_1)} :=: e^{B_1(z;\beta_1)+B_1(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{H^{*2l-1}(w;\beta_2)} := z^{\frac{1}{2N}}(1 - \frac{w}{z}q^{\beta_1+\beta_2}) : e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)+H^{*2l-1}(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)} :: e^{H^{*2l}(w;\beta_2)} :=: e^{B_{2N}(z;\beta_1)+H^{*2l}(w;\beta_2)} : \ ,\\
&: e^{c^l(z)} :: e^{c^{l'}(z)} := (z - w)^{\delta_{ll'}} : e^{c^l(z)+c^{l'}(z)} : \ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N-1$ and $l, l' = 1, 2, \cdots, N$.

Appendix B

By using Theorem 1, the integral expressions of the bosonized vertex operators (III.16) and the technique in [22], one can check the following relations

- For the type I vertex operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\phi_k(z), f_l] = 0 \ \text{ if } k \neq l, l+1, \quad [\phi_l(z), f_l]_{q^{(-1)^l}} = 0,\\
&[\phi_{l+1}(z), f_l]_{q^{(-1)^l}} = (-1)^l \phi_l(z),\\
&[\phi_k(z), e_l] = 0 \ \text{ if } k \neq l, \quad [\phi_l(z), e_l] = -q^{h_l}\phi_{l+1}(z),\\
&q^{h_l}\phi_l(z)q^{-h_l} = q^{(-1)^l}\phi_l(z),\\
&q^{h_l}\phi_k(z)q^{-h_l} = \phi_k(z) \text{ if } k \neq l, l+1, \quad q^{h_l}\phi_{l+1}(z)q^{-h_l} = q^{(-1)^l}\phi_{l+1}(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\phi^*_k(z), f_l] = 0 \ \text{ if } k \neq l, l+1, \quad [\phi^*_{l+1}(z), f_l]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}} = 0,\\
&[\phi^*_k(z), e_l] = 0 \ \text{ if } k \neq l+1, \quad [\phi^*_{l+1}(z), e_l] = (-1)^l q^{h_l+(-1)^l}\phi^*_l(z),\\
&[\phi^*_l(z), f_l]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}} = q^{(-1)^{l+1}}\phi^*_{l+1}(z), \quad q^{h_l}\phi^*_l(z)q^{-h_l} = q^{(-1)^{l+1}}\phi^*_l(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$q^{h_l}\phi^*_k(z)q^{-h_l}=\phi^*_k(z) \text{ if } k\neq l,l+1, \quad q^{h_l}\phi^*_{l+1}(z)q^{-h_l}=q^{(-1)^{l+1}}\phi^*_{l+1}(z).$$

- For the type II vertex operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\psi_k(z),e_l]=0 \ \text{ if } k\neq l,l+1, \quad [\psi_{l+1}(z),e_l]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}}=0,\\
&[\psi_l(z),e_l]_{q^{(-1)^{l+1}}}=\psi_{l+1}(z),\\
&[\psi_k(z),f_l]=0 \text{ if } k\neq l+1, \quad [\psi_{l+1}(z),f_l]=(-1)^{l+1}q^{-h_l}\psi_l(z),\\
&q^{h_l}\psi_l(z)q^{-h_l}=q^{(-1)^l}\psi_l(z), \quad q^{h_l}\psi_{l+1}(z)q^{-h_l}=q^{(-1)^l}\psi_{l+1}(z),\\
&q^{h_l}\psi_k(z)q^{-h_l}=\psi_k(z) \ \text{ if } k\neq l,l+1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\psi^*_k(z),e_l]=0 \ \text{ if } k\neq l,l+1, \quad [\psi^*_l(z),e_l]_{q^{(-1)^l}}=0,\\
&[\psi^*_k(z),f_l]=0 \ \text{ if } k\neq l, \quad [\psi^*_l(z),f_l]=-q^{-h_l-(-1)^l}\psi^*_{l+1}(z),\\
&[\psi^*_{l+1}(z),e_l]_{q^{(-1)^l}}=(-1)^{l+1}q^{(-1)^l}\psi^*_l(z), \quad q^{h_l}\psi^*_l(z)q^{-h_l}=q^{(-1)^{l+1}}\psi^*_l(z),\\
&q^{h_l}\psi^*_k(z)q^{-h_l}=\psi^*_k(z) \text{ if } k\neq l,l+1, \quad q^{h_l}\psi^*_{l+1}(z)q^{-h_l}=q^{(-1)^{l+1}}\psi^*_{l+1}(z).
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] I.B. Frenkel, N.Yu. Reshetikhin, Commun. Math. Phys. **146**, 1 (1992).

[2] B. Davies, O. Foda, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, A. Nakayashiki, Commun. Math. Phys. **151**, 89 (1993).

[3] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, *Algebraic analysis of solvable lattice models*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. **85**, AMS, 1994.

[4] Y. Koyama, Commun. Math. Phys. **164**, 277 (1994).

[5] W.-L. Yang, Y.-Z. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. **B547**, 599 (1999).

[6] B.-Y. Hou, W.-L. Yang, Y.-Z. Zhang, e-print `math.QA/9904018`, Nucl. Phys. **B**, in press.

[7] I.B. Frenkel, N. Jing, Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA **85**, 9373 (1988).

[8] D. Bernard, Lett. Math. Phys. **17**, 239(1989).

[9] H. Awata, S. Odake, J. Shiraishi, Commun. Math. Phys. **162**, 61 (1994).

[10] N. Jing, S.-J. Kang, Y. Koyama, Commun. Math. Phys. **174**, 367 (1995).

[11] N. Jing, K.C. Misra, e-print `q-alg/9701034`.

[12] K. Kimura, J. Shiraishi, J. Uchiyama, Commun. Math. Phys. **188**, 367 (1997).

[13] Y.-Z. Zhang, e-print `math.QA/9812084`, J. Math. Phys., to appear.

[14] H. Awata, S. Odake, J. Shiraishi, Lett. Math. Phys. **42**, 271 (1997).

[15] R. Gade, Nucl. Phys. **B500**, 547 (1997).

[16] W.L. Yang, Y.-Z. Zhang, e-print `math.QA/9907134`.

[17] Y.-Z. Zhang, J. Phys. **A30**, 8325 (1997).

[18] V.G. Kac, Lect. Notes in Math. **676**, 597 (1978).

[19] V.G. Drinfeld, Sov. Math. Dokl. **36**, 212 (1988).

[20] H. Yamane, e-print `q-alg/9603015`.

[21] L. Clavelli, J.A. Shapiro, Nucl. Phys. **B57**, 490 (1973).

[22] Y.Asai, M.Jimbo, T.Miwa, Y.Pugai, J. Phys. **A29**, 6595 (1996).